

AXISVM

FOOTFALL ANALYSIS GUIDE

Edited by Inter-CAD Kft.

INTENTIONALLY BLANK PAGE

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
THEORY AND BACKGROUND	1
Vibration Characteristics	1
Calculation of the Response Factor	2
Introduction of procedure CCIP-016	2
Introduction of procedure SCI P354	3
THE IMPLEMENTATIONS OF THE PROCEDURES IN AXISVM 14	5
EXAMPLES	7
Analysis of an L-shaped planar plate	7
Analysis of a multi-storey building	9
LIMIT VALUES OF THE RESPONSE FACTOR	13
BIBLIOGRAPHY	14

INTRODUCTION

The goal of the footfall analysis is to determine and check the accelerations due to human induced vibrations, which may result in the decrease of the comfort or may have influence on sensitive instruments or even can decrease the serviceability of the building.

The main purpose of this guide is to give a better insight into the built-in procedures to help the understanding. The approaches, we introduce here are based on the works: "A Design Guide for Footfall Induced Vibration of Structures", [1] and "Design of Floors for Vibration: A New Approach", [2].

During the set up of the modell it is important to take into account the fact that the structures are stiffer for dynamical loads. The setting of the supports, connections between the structural members, edge hinges must reflect stiffer behaviour for dynamical loads than what is assumed for statical loads.

THEORY AND BACKGROUND

VIBRATION CHARACTERISTICS

The response of a system for forced vibration consists of two parts: a transient part and a steady-state part. The response for footfalls can have two different characteristics. If the structure is "stiff" (the first, dominant, vertical directional mode's frequency is larger then four times the fundamental frequency of walking) then the steady-state solution is negligible beside the transient solution because between two steps the vibrations decay due to the large stiffness and damping. In this case the excitation can be modelled as a series of impacts on the structure. An example can be found in Figure 1(a). We would like to emphasise here that in this case we neglect the superposition of the consecutive footfalls, which is acceptable if we assume the decay of the vibration between two steps. The transient vibration is a free vibrational response of the system, which relates to given initial conditions, so the frequency content of the response consists of the eigenfrequencies of the system, so evidently the forcing frequencies do not appear in this solution.



Figure 1. Possible acceleration response characteristics: series of transient vibrations due to impacts on a "stiffer" structure (a), steady-state solution due to continuous excitation force on a "softer" structure (b).

On the other hand, if the structure is "softer", so there exists an eigenfrequency, which is smaller than four times the fundamental frequency of walking, than resonance may appear if the force is not orthogonal to the eigenshape. Resonance appears if the fundamental frequency or one of the overtones (integer multiple of the fundamental frequency) is equal to one of the structure's eigenfrequencies. In this situation the transient solution is negligible beside the steady-state solution. The amplitude of the acceleration becomes constant after a while, see Figure 2(b). It is important to note that as one can see time is needed for the evolution of the steady-state amplitude, so maximal accelerations can only evolve in unfavourable situations, when the structure undergoes long resonant forcing.

CALCULATION OF THE RESPONSE FACTOR

INTRODUCTION OF PROCEDURE CCIP-016

In the case of *transient vibration* the function of the vertical velocity obtained from N_{tr} eigenshapes, where the indice of the analysed node is r, while indice of the forced node is e:

$$v_{w,e,r,tr}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{tr}} \mu_{e,m} \mu_{r,m} \frac{l_{eff,m}}{m_m} \sin(2\pi f_m t) \exp(-2\pi \zeta f_m t), \text{ where}$$
(1)

- *N_{tr}*: is the analysed number of eigenshapes,
- f_m : mth eigenfrequency,
- *ζ*: critical damping ratio, see [1] Table A2,
- $\mu_{e,m}$: the vertical directional displacement of the forced node (indice: e), on the mth mode,
- $\mu_{r,m}$: the vertical directional displacement of the analysed node (indice: r), on the mth mode,
- *I_{eff,m}*: impulse load of a footfall, see [1] Equation (4.10),
- m_m: modal mass of the mth eigenshape, which is equal to 1, if the shapes is normalised to the mass,
- *t* : time.

The averaging velocity can be obtained in the following form:

$$v_{w,rms,e,r,tr} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T v_{w,e,r,tr}(t)^2 dt}, \text{ where}$$
⁽²⁾

T: period of one step $\left(=\frac{1}{f_p}\right)$. This yields the response factor :

$$R_{e,r,tr} = \begin{cases} \frac{v_{w,rms,er,tr}}{\frac{0.005\frac{m}{s^2}}{2\pi f_1}}, & \text{if } f_1 \le 8Hz \\ \frac{\frac{v_{w,rms,er,tr}}{2\pi f_1}}{0.0001\frac{m}{s}}, & \text{where} \end{cases}$$
(3)

 f_1 is the fundamental frequency of the structure. This frequency is one of the eigenfrequency (f_m), which has the largest coefficient in the summation, see above Equation (1). Equation (3) contains the effect of the frequency sensitivity, which describes the fact that the sensitivity of the human body depends on the frequency of the response signal of the structure not just the maximal acceleration of it.

The real and imaginary part of the acceleration in the steady-state solution, relating to hth overtone and mth eigenshape:

$$a_{e,r,real,h,m} = \left(\frac{h*f_p}{f_m}\right)^2 * \frac{F_h \mu_{e,m} \mu_{r,m} \varrho}{m_m} * \frac{A_{h,m}}{A_{h,m}^2 + B_{h,m}^2},$$

$$a_{e,r,imaginary,h,m} = \left(\frac{h*f_p}{f_m}\right)^2 * \frac{F_h \mu_{e,m} \mu_{r,m} \varrho}{m_m} * \frac{B_{h,m}}{A_{h,m}^2 + B_{h,m}^2},$$
(4)

- *h*: number of harmonic,
- f_m : mth eigenfrequency,
- F_h : the Fourier coefficient, which relates to the hth harmonic,
- $\mu_{e,m}$: the vertical directional displacement of the forced node (indice: e), on the mth eigenshape,
- $\mu_{r,m}$: the vertical directional displacement of the analysed node (indice: r), on the mth eigenshape,
- ϱ : resonance build-up factor, $\varrho = 1 \exp(-2\pi\zeta N)$, where N is the number of footsteps,
- m_m : modal mass of the mth eigenshape, which is equal to 1, if the shapes is normalised to the mass,

•
$$A_{h,m} = 1 - \left(\frac{h * f_p}{f_m}\right)^2$$
, $B_{h,m} = 2\zeta \frac{h * f_p}{f_m}$: parameters.

The acceleration component, which relates to the hth harmonic can be expressed int he following way:

$$a_{e,r,h} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{m=1}^{N_{st}} a_{e,r,real,h,m}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{m=1}^{N_{st}} a_{e,r,imaginary,h,m}\right)^2},$$
(5)

where N_{st} is the number of eigenshapes, taken into account. The response factor of the hth harmonic:

$$R_{e,r,st,h} \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{a_{e,r,h}}{0.00141\frac{m}{s^{2}.5}}, ha \ h * f_{p} \leq 4Hz}{\sqrt{h^{*}f_{p}}} \end{cases}$$
(6)
$$\frac{a_{e,r,h}}{\sqrt{h^{*}f_{p}}}, ha \ 4Hz < h * f_{p} \leq 8Hz' \\ \frac{a_{e,r,h}}{0.0071\frac{m}{s^{2}}}, ha \ h * f_{p} > 8Hz \end{cases}$$

which expression contains the effect of freqency sensitivity, like the one above. The response factor taking into account all the harmonics: $R_{e,r,st} = \sqrt{\sum_{h=1}^{4} R_{e,r,st,h}^2}$, which is an SRSS summation of the four harmonics.

The *response factor* of the analysed node, r (e: the indice of the forced node):

$$R_r = \max(\max_e R_{e,r,tr}, \max_e R_{e,r,st}), \tag{7}$$

so we maximise the responses with respect to the indice *e*, furthermore we calculate these two maximums, one for the transient and one for the steady state solution, and associate the larger one to the node *r*.

INTRODUCTION OF PROCEDURE SCI P354

In the case of <u>transient vibration</u> the function of the vertical acceleration obtained from N_{tr} eigenshapes, where the indice of the analysed node is r, while indice of the forced node is e:

$$a_{w,e,r,tr}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{tr}} 2\pi f_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} \mu_{e,n} \mu_{r,n} \frac{F_I}{M_n} \sin\left(2\pi f_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} t\right) \exp(-\zeta 2\pi f_n t) W_n, \text{ where}$$
⁽⁸⁾

- *N_{tr}*: is the analysed number of eigenshapes,
- f_n : nth eigenfrequency,
- ζ : critical damping ratio, see [2] Table 4.1.,
- $\mu_{e,n}$: the vertical directional displacement of the forced node (indice: e), on the nth mode,
- $\mu_{r,n}$: the vertical directional displacement of the analysed node (indice: r), on the nth mode,
- *F_I*: impulse load of a footfall,
- M_n : modal mass of the nth eigenshape, which is equal to 1, if the shapes is normalised to the mass,
- *t* : time,
- W_n : frequency-dependent weighting function, which relates to the nth mode, see [2].

The averaging acceleration obtained from the time-dependent acceleration, see Equation (8):

$$a_{w,rms,e,r,tr} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a_{w,e,r,tr}(t)^2 dt}, \text{ where}$$
 (9)

T: period of one step $\left(=\frac{1}{f_p}\right)$.

The acceleration function in <u>steady-state</u> solution with H harmonics and N_{st} eigenshapes:

$$a_{w,e,r,st}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{st}} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \mu_{e,n} \mu_{r,n} \frac{F_h}{M_n} D_{n,h} \sin(2\pi h f_p t + \phi_h + \phi_{n,h}) W_h, \text{ where}$$
(10)

- *N_{st}*: is the analysed number of eigenshapes,
- f_p : pathing, fundamental frequency of walking,
- $\mu_{e,n}$: the vertical directional displacement of the forced node (indice: *e*), on the nth mode,
- $\mu_{r,n}$: the vertical directional displacement of the analysed node (indice: r), on the nth mode,
- F_h : the Fourier coefficient, which relates to the hth harmonic, see [2],
- M_n : modal mass of the nth eigenshape, which is equal to 1, if the shapes is normalised to the mass,

- t : time,
- $D_{n,h}$: response factor (nth shape, hth harmonic), see [2], ٠
- $\phi_n, \phi_{n,h}$: phase angles (n-edik alak, h-adik harmonikus), see [2],
- W_n : frequency-dependent weighting function, which relates to the nth mode, see [2].

During the analysis of the steady-state solution (in both procedures) those eigenshapes are taken into account, which have eigenfrequency smaller then the fundamental frequency times the number of harmonics (H)+2Hz (cut-off limit). Above this limit there is no significant increment in the acceleration. First we summarise the acceleration components with respect to the harmonics and after that the acceleration amplitude can be obtained by an SRSS summation with respect to the shapes. And according to this one can obtain the vertical acceleration of the node r, when the node e is excited, see Equation (11).

Figure 2. Resonance build-up factor as a

function of the length of the walking path,

$$a_{w,rms,e,r,st} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{h=1}^{H} \mu_{e,n} \mu_{r,n} \frac{F_h}{M_n} D_{n,h} W_h \right)^2}.$$
(11)

In the case of resonant vibration time is needed to achieve the maximal acceleration value, see again Figure 1(b), due to this fact we can decrease the steady state solutions:

$$a_{w,rms,e,r,st} = a_{w,rms,e,r,st} * \varrho$$
, where

building.

[2], Equation (16).

 ϱ resonance build-up factor: $\varrho = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi\zeta L_p f_p}{v}\right)$, where

- L_p : length of the walking • critical corridor, or we can horizontal dimension of the
 - v: velocity of walking, see

According to this the response acceleration, which relates to the analysed node r.

 $\zeta = 1\%, f_p = 2Hz.$

$$a_{w,rms,r} = \max(\max_{e} a_{w,rms,e,r,tr}, \max_{e} a_{w,rms,e,r,st}), \tag{12}$$

which yields the dimensionless *response factor* of the analysed node *r*:

$$R_r = \frac{a_{W,rmsr}}{0.005\frac{m}{s^2}}.$$
(13)

path, which can be set to the length of a

safely approximate it with a significant



THE IMPLEMENTATIONS OF THE PROCEDURES IN AXISVM 14



Figure 3. Active Footfall analysis If vibration results relate to the modell, then the Footfall analysis button becomes active, see Figure 3. In Figure 4. the Footfall analysis windows can be found. The procedure will begin after clicking on "Ok", if

the modell contains surface elements and among them there exists at least one element, at which the angle between its normal and the direction of gravity is smaller then 70°. The procedure considers a

surface element a floor element if the angle between the normal and the gravity is less then or equal to 10° , if the angle is $10^{\circ} - 70^{\circ}$, then the surface assumed to be stair, to which different frequency limits, Fourier coefficients relate to (only in SCI P354 procedure). On nodes, which relate to walls or rods the program does not apply forcing and does not analyse the accelerations of these nodes.

Vibration response factor analysis	×
Vibration results to use 	Damp factor ζ [%]= 1 (0,3-10%)
	Footfall parameters Design method CCIP-016
	Number of steps N = 100 Length of the walking path L [m] = Mass of the walker m [kg] = 71,000
Modal shapes to use	Pace frequency
 All modal shapes for the load cases/combinations Shapes activated in the table of modal mass factors 	On slabs $f_{p,min}$ [Hz] = 1,00 $f_{p,max}$ [Hz] = 2,80
O Below a frequency limit f _{Lim} [Hz] = 15,00	On stairs
Excitation method Full (any node to any node) Excitation at the extremes of modal shapes Excitation at the node where the response is analysed Excitation until adjacent stories only	$f_{p,min}$ [Hz] = $f_{p,max}$ [Hz] =
	Weighting curve Wb - Average areas, medium vibration Wg - Special protection against vibration
	OK Cancel

Figure 4. Vibration response factor window.

The vibration response factor procedure is a computationally expensive calculation, so the program offers some simplification in the analysis, which can significantly shorten the runtime.

Modal shapes to use:

- All modal shapes for the load cases/combinations: if the user set this radiobutton, then all the eigenshapes, which relate to the load case or combination are taken into account. So the number of eigenmodes in the transient analysis, *N*_{tr}, see above is equal to the number of modes, which relate the load case/combination. While for steady-state analysis all the eigenmodes are taken into account till the cut off limit (*N*_{st}), where the cut-off limit is equal to the number of harmonics (*H*)+2Hz. Above this limit the eigenshapes are not dangerous from the resonance point of view.
- **Shapes activated in the table of modal mass factors:** the user can switch off modes, which presumably have no effect on the footfall analysis.
- **Below a frequency limit:** in this setting the user can define a cut-off frequency, above which the software does not take into account the eigenshapes.



If the largest calculated eigenfrequency is below the cut-off limit (see above) or the user switched of an eigenshape below the cut-off limit or the frequency limit is below the cut-off limit, then the name of the load case or combination becomes red, see Figure 5.

Figure 5. Warning on the vibration results.

Excitation method:

- **Full (any node to any node):** in this option the analysed node of a floor or stair gets excited by arbitrary nodes, which relate to floor or stair (the software does not analyse or force nodes which relate to walls).
- **Excitation at the extremes of the modal shapes:** in this case the excited nodes (*e*) are limited in such a way that only at the two extremes (min and max) of the modes are excited, see for example Figure 6:



Figure 6. Excitation at the extremes of the modal shapes, excited nodes.

- *Excitation at the node where the response is analysed:* in this option the forced and the analysed node is the same, so *e* = *r*. This option is usually appropriate if the user is interested in the global maximum of the vibration response spectrum and the goal is to prove the structure's reliability globally. However, it is suggested to check this result with methods decribed above.
- **Gerjesztés legfeljebb a szomszédos szintekig:** if the model consits of at least three storeys, then this checkbox becomes active. If the user switch it on, then the storey of the analysed node and the storey of the excited one must be the same or must be adjacent. Different load case is not handled.

The damping ratio can be chosen according to the works [1] and [2]. The description of the footfall parameters can be found above.

Pace frequency:

During the set up of the pace frequency the user determines the lower and the upper boundary of the analysed frequency range. In the analysis the software evaluate a list, in which discrete frequencies appear, which cause resonance in the system. If the obtained frequencies are smaller than 20, then by interval halving further elements are added to the list, if it is larger, then elements are deleted from the list. If the maximal difference between two discrete frequency is larger than 0.1 Hz, then further interval halving is applied. All in all at the calculation is carried out for at least 20 discrete pace frequencies.

EXAMPLES

ANALYSIS OF AN L-SHAPED PLANAR PLATE

Geometric parameters, material properties, boundary conditions

The geometric parameters of the analysed structure is illustrated in Figure 7. The thickness of the plate is constant: **20 cm**.



Figure 7. Geometric values of the analysed problem.

The material of the model relates to C25/30 (EC), Young's modulus: $E = 3150 kN/cm^2$. The boundary conditions are pointlike hinges and line-like hinges, see Figure 7. The number of the nodes: 1870, the analysed number of modes: 10.

Results:

Figures 8., 9., 10. illustrates the results of the problem with same design method, but with different excitation methods with the notation of the running time. As one can observe the maximal values are the same, but the charateristics of the envelope functions are differs from each other. It is easy to admit that the full excitation is always above (yields larger accelerations) the other excitation methods.



Figure 8. Full excitation, CCIP-016 design method, running time: 20 sec.



Figure 9. Excitation at the extremes of modal shapes, CCIP-016 design method, running time: 2 sec.



Figure 10. Excitation at the nodes where the response is analysed, CCIP-016 design method, running time: <1 sec.

ANALYSIS OF A MULTI-STOREY BUILDING

Geometric parameters, material properties, boundary conditions

The geometric parameters of the structure is illustrated in Figure 11. and 12. The thickness of the plates is constant: **20cm**. The cross section of the columns are square with size of **40x40 cm**.



Figure 11. Multi-storey building, in top view.



Figure 12. Multi-storey building, in side view.

The material parameters of the model relate to concrete, C16/20 (EC), Young's modulus: $E = 2860 kN/cm^2$. The columns have clamped end. The number of the nodes: 6647, the number of the examined, z-directional modeshapes: 50.

Results:



Figure 13. Slab of the first storey, full exctiation, CCIP-016 design method, without analysing the storey of the nodes, running time: 1335 sec.



Figure 14. Slab of the first storey, excitation at the extremes of modal shapes, CCIP-016 design method, without analysing the storey of the nodes, running time: 57 sec.



Figure 15. Slab of the first storey, excitation at the nodes where the response is analysed, CCIP-016 design method, without analysing the storey of the nodes, running time: 2 sec.

The results are shown in Figures 13, 14, 15. Only the vibration response factors of the first storey are illustrated with different excitation methods. Figure 13. illustrates the solution of the "exact", full excitation, while in Figure 14. the results for method excitation at the extremes of modal shapes is plotted and in Figure 15. the results for method excitation at the nodes where the response is analysed can be seen. As one can see all the three methods show similar maximal value, but the characteristics of the functions are different. If we take adventage from the storey and only nodes on the same or adjacent storeys can force the analysed one, then the running time can be reduced to 1087 s.



Figure 16. Result of the maximal value function.

The analysis of the maximal value of the response factor the number of the node on which the maximal response showed up, the maximal value, the forced node, which relates to this most unfavourable case and the critical frequency. In the current case the critical fundamental frequency is f= 2.70 Hz. The analysis of the eigenshapes, eigenfrequencies showed that the 30. shape's eigenfrequency: $f_{0,30} = 10.80$ Hz and the eigenshape is illustrated in Flgure 17. It is easy to admit that this shape resonates with the third overtune of the fundamental frequency, as four times the fundamental frequency is equal to this eigenfrequency.



LIMIT VALUES OF THE RESPONSE FACTOR

The limit values of the vibration response factor at different standards can be found in Table 1. and 2.

Limit values in **BS 6472**, [3]:

Place	Time	Continuous vibration 16h day 8h night	Impulsive excitation with up to 3 occurences
Critical working	Day	1	1
areas(e.g.: hospital operating theatres)	Night	1	1
Deside stal	Day	2 to 4	60 to 90
Residental	Night	1.4	20
	Day	4	128
Office	Night	4	128
Workshops	Day	8	128
workshops	Night	8	128

Table 1. Vibration response factor limit values, **BS 6472**, [3].

Limit values in SCI, [4]:

Place	Continuous vibration
Office	8
Shopping mall	4 [4]
Dealing floor	4
Stairs-light use (offices)	32 [5]
Stairs-heavy use (e.g. public buildings, stadia)	24 [5]

Table 2. Vibration response factor limit values, SCI, [4].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Willford, M.R., Young, P. A Design Guide for Footfall Induced Vibration of Structures, Concrete Society, 2006
- 2. Smith, A. L., Hicks, S. J., Devine, P. J. Design of Floors for Vibration: A New Approach, The Steel Construction Institute, Ascot, 2009
- 3. BS 6472:1992 Guide to evaluation of human exposure to vibration in buildings (1 Hz to 80 Hz)
- 4. Wyatt, T. A. Design guide on the vibration of floors, The Steel Construction Institute, 1989
- 5. Ellingwood, B. Structural servicebility: Floor vibrations, ASCE Journal os Structural Engineering, 1984
- 6. **Bishop, N. W. M., Willford, M., Pumphrey, R.** *Human induced loading for flexible staircases,* Safety Science 18, 1995